

Risk Factors of Hypertension among Adult in Rural Indonesia

Rian Diana^{1*}, Naufal Muharam Nurdin², Faisal Anwar²,
Hadi Riyadi², Ali Khomsan²

¹Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

²Department of Community Nutrition, Faculty of Human Ecology, Bogor Agricultural University,
Bogor 16680, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to analyse the risk factors of hypertension among adult in rural Indonesia. This cross-sectional study included 112 married couples (112 men and 112 women) aged 45-59 years old and was conducted in Cianjur District, West Java, Indonesia. The measurement of body weight and fat composition used Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) monitor (OMRON® Karada Scan Body Composition Monitor HBF-358-BW). Body height was measured by stadiometer, meanwhile waist circumference was measured by measuring tape. Data on the blood pressure measured by an automatic blood pressure monitor (OMRON® Automatic Blood Pressure Monitor Model HEM-7200). All measurement were done twice in repetition. Chi-square was used to analysed the association between variables and logistic regression was used to analyse the risk factors. The prevalence of hypertension was 49.1% (women 54.5%; men 43.7%). Women had a higher proportion of overweight, obesity, abdominal obesity, and hypertension stage 2 than men. BMI ($p < 0.05$), waist circumference ($p < 0.05$), total body fat ($p < 0.05$), and visceral fat ($p < 0.05$) were significantly higher in hypertension subject than not hypertension. Nonetheless, only waist circumference became the risk factor for hypertension (OR=4.005; 95%CI:1.47-10.914 for high risk). There is high prevalence of hypertension among adult in rural area. Adult, particularly women need to pay attention on their body fat to reduce the risk of hypertension.

Keywords: adults, blood pressure, body composition, body fat, hypertension

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is a silent killer that becomes the most prevalent disease in the world. Globally men (24.1%) have higher prevalence of hypertension than women (20.1%) (WHO 2016). High systolic blood pressure is one of the leading risk factors for global disability adjusted life years (DALYs) (Forouzanfar *et al.* 2015). A meta-analysis stated that for every 10 mmHg reduction in systolic blood pressure significantly reduced the risk of cardiovascular disease, coronary heart disease, stroke, and heart failure (Etehad *et al.* 2015). One of the risk factors for high blood pressure is body weight. Higher body mass index (BMI) is an increased risk for development of hypertension over time (Landsberg *et al.* 2013). Singh *et al.* (2017) revealed that overweight, obesity, abdominal obesity, tobacco, and alcohol consumption are also risk factors for hypertension.

BMI and waist circumference are anthropometric measurements that commonly used to

determine nutritional status and their risk for non-communicable diseases. BMI usually interpret as an index of an individual's fatness but it does not differentiate between body lean mass and body fat mass and it also does not capture body fat location (Nuttall 2015). Waist circumference is significantly associated with abdominal subcutaneous and visceral fat. Seven *et al.* (2016) and Chandra *et al.* (2014) found that the incidence of hypertension associated with visceral fat but not with subcutaneous adiposity and total body fat. It is well known that women and men have a different proportion of body fat and its distribution. White and Tchoukalova (2014) in their review stated that women have more subcutaneous fat than men and in contrast men have higher visceral fat than women.

Indonesian Basic Health Survey showed that women had higher prevalence of overweight (32.9%) than men (overweight 19.7%) (MoH 2013), nonetheless the prevalence of hypertension was higher in men than women. This conflicting result were different with Lands-

*Corresponding Author: tel: +6231-596480s8, email: rian.diana@fkm.unair.ac.id

berg *et al.* (2013) which found that higher BMI increasing the risk of hypertension. Indonesian Basic Health Survey also revealed that overweight prevalence was significantly higher in urban areas than rural areas but the prevalence of hypertension was almost the same (MoH 2013). To our knowledge, there are studies about hypertension risk factors (Peltzer & Pengpid 2018, Singh *et al.* 2017), body composition and blood pressure (Chandra *et al.* 2014, White & Tchoukaloova 2014; Seven *et al.* 2016) but few study about it in Indonesia (Peltzer & Pengpid 2018, Sari *et al.* 2016) particularly in rural area. Therefore this study aims to analyse the risk factors of hypertension among adult at rural Indonesia.

METHODS

Design, location, and time

This cross-sectional research was conducted in Sukamantri Village (Karang Tengah Subdistrict) and Cislak Village (Cibeber Subdistrict), Cianjur District, West Java in 2014. The study population was married couples aged 45-59 years old residing in Cianjur District. The sample was selected using simple random sampling among married couples aged 45-59 years old that agreed to be measured (total 224 samples consisted of 112 men and 112 women). The ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical committee of Public Health Faculty, University of Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia.

Data collection

Variables in this study are body composition and blood pressure. Anthropometric measurements were done in the morning (07.00-10.00) at village hall. Samples were given 10-15 minutes of rest since arriving at village hall before all the measurement (height, waist circumference, body weight, fat composition, and blood pressure) were performed. Blood pressure was measured by an automatic blood pressure monitor (OMRON® Automatic Blood Pressure Monitor Model HEM-7200). Body height was measured by using a stadiometer with a capacity of 200 cm and an accuracy of 0.1 cm. The waist circumference was measured by using a measuring tape with a capacity of 150 cm and an accuracy of 0.1 cm. The measurement of body weight and fat composition used Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) monitor (OMRON® Karada Scan Body Composition Monitor HBF-358-BW).

Data analysis

Based on WHO (2011) BMI was classified into 4 categories (underweight [$<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$], normal [$18.5-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$], overweight [$25-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$], and obesity [$\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$]). Meanwhile, waist circumference divided into 2 groups (normal $\leq 80 \text{ cm}$ for women, $\leq 90 \text{ cm}$ men; and high risk $> 80 \text{ cm}$ women, $> 90 \text{ cm}$ men). Visceral fat allocated into 3 groups (normal [$0-9.5$], high [$10.0-14.5$], and very high [≥ 15]) (OMRON 2017). There was one missing case because unreadable result visceral fat. Total body fat categorized into 4 categories for man (M) and woman (W) (low [$M < 13$; $W < 25$], normal [$M = 13-23.9$; $W = 25-34.9$]; high [$M = 24-28.9$; $W = 35-40.9$]; very high [$M \geq 29$; $W \geq 41$]) (Gallagher *et al.* 2000). Based on Joint National Committee VII 2003 (NHLBI 2004), blood pressure was classified into normal ($<120 / <80 \text{ mmHg}$), prehypertension ($120-139 / 80-89 \text{ mmHg}$), stage 1 hypertension ($140-159 / 90-99 \text{ mmHg}$), and stage 2 hypertension ($\geq 160 / \geq 100 \text{ mmHg}$). The association of variables were analysed by Chi-square. Logistic regression was done to analyse the risk factors of hypertension.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The subjects of this study were men and women aged 40-59 years old. Table 1 discovered that men subjects were older than women subjects. BMI was used to predict nutritional status. Women had a higher proportion of overweight (61.1% vs 38.9%), obesity (85.7% vs 14.3%), and waist circumference (80.9% vs 19.1%) than men. Women also have a slightly higher proportion of total body fat, visceral fat, and hypertension but not significantly associated.

BMI was determined by ratio of weight (kg) and height in meter square (m^2). Table 1 showed that women significantly have a higher BMI than men ($p < 0.05$). In this study, the high BMI in women was caused by the difference in height rather than weight compared to men. Women are shorter than men but their weight are similar. Women waist circumference ($p < 0.05$) were also significantly higher than men. Meanwhile, total body fat, visceral fat, and hypertension status were not different between men and women.

This study revealed that women had a significantly higher proportion of BMI and waist circumference than men. Prospective cohort study

Table 1. Subject distribution by age, body composition and hypertension

Variables	Men n (%)	Women n (%)	p*
Age (years old)			
40-49	22 (24.7)	67 (75.3)	0.000
50-59	90 (66.7)	45 (33.3)	
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)			
Underweight (<18.5)	12 (54.5)	10 (45.5)	0.005
Normal (18.5-24.9)	77 (57.5)	57 (42.5)	
Overweight (25-29.9)	21 (38.9)	33 (61.1)	
Obesity (≥30)	2 (14.3)	12 (85.7)	
Waist circumference (cm)			
Normal (≤80 women; ≤ 90 men)	94 (72.3)	36 (27.7)	0.000
High risk (>80 women; >90 men)	18 (19.1)	76 (80.9)	
Total body fat (%)			
Low (M <13; W <25)	14 (56)	11 (44)	0.204
Normal (M:13-23.9; W:25-34.9)	68 (54)	58 (46)	
High (M:24-28.9; W:35-40.9)	22 (37.9)	36 (62.1)	
Very high (M≥29; W≥41)	8 (53.3)	7 (46.7)	
Visceral fat			
Normal (0.0-9.5)	75 (47.5)	83 (52.5)	0.546
High (10.0-14.5)	27 (56.3)	21 (43.8)	
Very high (≥15.0)	9 (52.9)	8 (47.1)	
Hypertension (systol/diastol) (mmHg)			
Normal (<120/<80)	19 (55.9)	15 (44.1)	0.289
Prehypertension (120-139/80-89)	44 (55.0)	36 (45.0)	
Stage 1 hypertension (140-159/90-99)	26 (50.0)	26 (50.0)	
Stage 2 hypertension (≥160/≥100)	23 (39.7)	35 (60.3)	

*Chi-square test

by Sudikno *et al.* (2018) also found that women have a greater risk of developing obesity than men. This study support the result of Ranasinghe *et al.* (2013) which found that BMI are strongly correlated with body fat percentage and this correlation was influenced by age and gender. Nuttal (2015) stated that BMI was a weak predictor of body fat because it cannot differentiate the body fat mass with lean body mass. The fat location in the body can give an important information about the health risk compared to just having knowledge about the quantity of total body fat. More research is needed to measure body composition using gold standard tools such as A Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DEXA or DXA) which provides the location of body fat depots that may explain whether BMI can be a predictor of total body fat.

Men and women have a different pattern in fat deposition, fat mobilization, and fat utilization as a metabolic fuel and its health consequences. Naturally women store more fat than men. Women have more subcutaneous fat and store fat in hips and thighs. Meanwhile, man deposit their fat

in stomach area particularly as a visceral fat. Hormonal differences, reproduction process, pregnancy and lactation caused differences in fat storage and fat utilization between men and women (Shi & Kumar 2012). In this study we found that BMI and waist circumference women were significantly higher than men but the total body fat and visceral fat were the same. It can be caused by the shifting in fat deposition in women from subcutaneous area to visceral area particularly in menopausal women (Shi & Kumar 2012).

Sex hormone has an important role in lipolysis dan fat uptake. Estrogen can reduce visceral fat in men and women meanwhile androgen decreasing visceral fat in men but increasing it in women. Therefore menopausal status can affect total body fat. Women who experience menopause have more body fat (Shi & Kumar 2012). This condition was associated with decreased of estrogen and insulin sensitivity (Palmer & Kirkland 2016). Reduction in estrogen receptor alpha (ER α) gene expression in premenopausal obese women can cause increased body fat. Decreasing endogenous estrogen in menopausal women can

affect the shifting of fat distribution from subcutaneous area to visceral area. The polymorphisms of ER α can interfere the estrogen signaling and causing the increase of visceral fat (Shi & Kumar 2012). This causes higher risk of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance (Palmer & Kirkland 2016).

Hypertension is defined as systolic and or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmHg. High prevalence (49.1%) of hypertension was found in this study and women have a higher prevalence than men (54.5% vs 43.7%). Table 1 also showed a greater proportion of stage 2 hypertension in women compared to men (60.3% vs 39.7%). Meanwhile, the proportion of normotension and prehypertension were higher in men than women. The proportion of stage 1 hypertension was similar between men and women. Chi-square showed that there was no significant association of blood pressure with gender ($p > 0.05$).

Table 2 discovered that subject characteristics (sex and age) were not significantly associated with hypertension and not a risk factors for hypertension (Table 3). This results was not in line with Dogan *et al.* (2012) which found that age and gender were risk factors for hypertension. This difference can be caused by the age range of the subjects. This study only involved middle age population (40-59 years old) therefore have a short age range. Choi *et al.* (2017) found that

sex differences in hypertension prevalence were shown after the age of 60.

BMI, waist circumference, total body fat, and visceral fat were significantly associated with hypertension (Table 2). After the variables were analysed by logistic regression (Table 3), they were not significant risk factors for hypertension. Nuttal (2015) revealed that fat location particularly body fat depots location gave more important information about health risk than the total body fat. Furthermore, BMI cannot differentiate the body fat mass with lean body mass so it is a weak predictor of body fat.

Table 3 showed that men with waist circumference >90 cm and women >80 cm were 4 times more likely to get hypertension than those who have normal waist circumference (OR=4.005; 95%CI:1.47-10.914 for high risk). Study Rao and Parab-Waingankar (2013) in India found that waist circumference was a better predictor for obesity related health risk particularly hypertension in both sexes than BMI. This study also in line with Battie *et al.* (2016) which stated that Asian waist circumference threshold for women (>80 cm) can be a prediction for hypertension and diabetes. Therefore, waist circumference can be used as a simple screening tool in assessing the risk of hypertension. The limitation of this study was it did not measure other variables that affect blood pressure such as sodium intake,

Table 2. Association between subject characteristics and body composition with hypertension

Variables	Non hypertension n (%)	Hypertension n (%)	p*
Sex			
Men	43 (38.4)	69 (61.6)	0.064
Women	30 (26.8)	82 (73.2)	
Age			
40-49 years old	33 (37.1)	56 (62.9)	0.244
50-59 years old	40 (29.6)	95 (70.4)	
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)			
Not overweight (< 25)	59 (37.8)	97 (62.2)	0.011
Overweight & obesity (≥ 25)	14 (20.6)	54 (79.4)	
Waist circumference (cm)			
Normal (≤ 80 women; ≤ 90 men)	57 (43.8)	73 (56.2)	0.000
High Risk (>80 women; >90 men)	16 (17)	78 (83)	
Total body fat (%)			
Normal (M <24 ; W <35)	58 (38.4)	93 (61.6)	0.008
High (M ≥ 24 ; W ≥ 35)	15 (20.5)	58 (79.5)	
Visceral fat			
Normal (<10)	58 (36.7)	100 (63.3)	0.028
High (≥ 10)	14 (21.5)	51 (78.5)	

*Chi-square test

Table 3. Risk factors of hypertension

Variables	B	Sig.	OR (95% CI)*
Sex [1=women; 0=men]	0.212	0.604	1.236 (0.555-2.753)
Age [1=50-59; 0=40-49] (years old)	0.651	0.066	1.917 (0.958-3.838)
Waist circumference [1=high risk; 0=normal] (cm)	1.388	0.007	4.005 (1.47-10.914)
Body Mass Index [1=BMI \geq 25; 0=BMI<25] (kg/m ²)	-0.289	0.610	0.749 (0.246-2.275)
Total body fat [1:high; 0:normal] (%)	-0.094	0.847	0.91 (0.349-2.371)
Visceral fat [1=high (\geq 10.0); 0=normal (<10)]	0.515	0.300	1.674 (0.632-4.437)

*Logistic regression; M= Men; W=Women ; waist circumference: 1=high risk (M>90 cm; W>80 cm); 0=normal (M \leq 90 cm; W \leq 80 cm); total body fat: 1=high (M \geq 24; W \geq 35); 0=normal (M=<24; W=<35)

menopausal status, physical activity, smoking habit, stress, diabetes, and cholesterol.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed that the prevalence of hypertension among adult in rural Indonesia was high in both sexes. Adult with hypertension have higher BMI, waist circumference, total body fat, and visceral fat. In addition, women had a higher prevalence of overweight, obesity, and central obesity. Waist circumference became a risk factor for hypertension. Adults with high waist circumference had 4 times greater chance of getting hypertension compared normal waist circumference. This implied that adults, particularly women need more attention to maintain their healthy body weight and monitor their blood pressure regularly to prevent the increasing health risks of hypertension.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank to Neys-van Hoogstraten Foundation, the Netherlands for fully funding this study (IN255).

REFERENCES

- Battie CA, Borja-Hart N, Ancheta IB, Flores R, Rao G, Palaniappan, L. 2016. Comparison of body mass index, waist circumference, and waist to height ratio in the prediction of hypertension and diabetes mellitus: Filipino-American women cardiovascular study. *Preventive Medicine Reports* 4:608-613. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2016.10.003>.
- Chandra A, Neeland IJ, Berry JD, Ayers CR, Rohatgi A, Das SR, Khera A, McGuire DK, de Lemos JA, Turer AT. 2014. The relationship of body mass and fat distribution with incident hypertension: Observations from the Dallas heart study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 64(10):997-1002. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2014.05.057>.
- Choi HM, Kim HC, Kang DR. 2017. Sex differences in hypertension prevalence and control: Analysis of the 2010-2014 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *PLoS ONE* 12(5): e0178334. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178334>.
- Dogan N, Toprak D, Demir S. 2012. Hypertension prevalence and risk factors among adult population in Afyonkarahisar region: A cross-sectional research. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg* 12(1):47-52.
- Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, Anderson SG, Callender T, Emberson J, Chalmers J, Rodgers A, Rahimi K. 2015. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death : A systematic review and meta-analysis articles blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death : a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 387(10022):957-967. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)01225-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01225-8).
- Forouzanfar MH, Alexander L, Anderson HR, Bachman VF, Biryukov S, *et al.* 2015. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks in 188 countries, 1990-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 386(10010):2287-2323. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)00128-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00128-2).
- Gallagher d, Heymsfield B, Heo M, Jebb SA, Murgatroyd PR, Sakamoto Y. 2000. Healthy percentage body fat ranges: an approach for developing guidelines based on body mass index. *Am J Clin Nutr* 72(3):694-701. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/72.3.694.

- Landsberg L, Aronne LJ, Beilin LJ, Burke V, Igel LI, Lloyd-Jones D, Sowers J. 2013. Obesity-related hypertension: pathogenesis, cardiovascular risk, and treatment: A position paper of the obesity society and the American Society of Hypertension. *J Clin Hypertens* 15(1):14-33. doi: 10.1111/jch.12049
- [MoH] Ministry of Health. 2013. Basic Health Survey. Jakarta: Ministry of Health Indonesia.
- [NHLBI] National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. 2004. Complete Report The Seventh Report of Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Bethesda: NHLBI.
- Nuttall FQ. 2015. Body mass index: obesity, BMI, and health: a critical review. *Nutrition Today* 50(3):117-128. doi: 10.1097/NT.000000000000092.
- OMRON. 2017. OMRON Instruction manual body composition monitor model hbf-358-bw karadascan. <http://omronhealthcare.co.id/resource/manual/English/HBF-358.pdf> [Accessed on July 29th, 2018].
- Palmer AK, Kirkland JL. 2016. Aging and adipose tissue: Potential interventions for diabetes and regenerative medicine. *Experimental Gerontology* 86:97-105. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2016.02.013>.
- Peltzer K, Pengpid S. 2018. The prevalence and social determinants of hypertension among adults in Indonesia: A cross-sectional population-based national survey. *Int J Hypertens* 5610725. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5610725>.
- Rao S, Parab-Waingankar P. 2013. Performance of waist circumference relative to BMI in predicting risk of obesity and hypertension among affluent Indian Adults. *Health* 5(8A3):16-22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/health.2013.58A3003>.
- Ranasinghe C, Gamage P, Katulanda P, Andraweera N, Sithira T, Tharanga P. 2013. Relationship between body mass index (BMI) and body fat percentage, estimated by bioelectrical impedance, in a group of Sri Lankan adults: A cross sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 13(1):797. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-797.
- Sari MK, Lipoeto NI, Herman RB. 2016. Penelitian hubungan lingkaran abdomen (lingkar perut) dengan tekanan darah. *Jurnal Kesehatan Andalas* 5(2):456-461.
- Seven E, Thuesen BH, Linneberg A, Jeppesen JL. 2016. Abdominal adiposity distribution quantified by ultrasound imaging and incident hypertension in a general population. *Hypertension* 68(5):1115-1122. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.07306.
- Shi H, Kumar SPDS. 2012. Sex differences in obesity-related glucose intolerance and insulin resistance. In Sureka Chackrewarthy (Ed), *Glucose Tolerance 38-65 in tech*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/52972>.
- Singh S, Shankar R, Singh GP. 2017. Prevalence and associated risk factors of hypertension: a cross-sectional study in urban Varanasi. *Int J Hypertens* 5491838. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5491838>.
- Sudikno, Syarief H, Dwiriani CM, Riyadi H, Pradono J. 2018. Obesity risk factor among 25-65 years old adults in Bogor City, Indonesia: A prospective cohort study. *J Gizi Pangan* 13(2):55-62. doi:10.25182/jgp.2018.13.2.55-62.
- White UA, Tchoukalova YD. 2014. Sex dimorphism and depot differences in adipose tissue function. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1842(3):377-392. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.05.006>.
- [WHO] World Health Organization. 2016. Raised blood pressure (SBP \geq 140 OR DBP \geq 90), age-standardized(%) Global estimates [Internet]. WHO. <http://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.CM1320R?lang=en> [Accessed on November 6th, 2017].
- [WHO] World Health Organization. 2011. *Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio: Report Of A WHO Expert Connsultation*, Geneva, 8-11 December 2008. Geneva: WHO.